PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: see form PCT/ISA/220				PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
				(day/month/year)		
	icant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
	national application N I/JP2004/019728		International filing date (24.12.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25.12.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G06F17/60 Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.						
1.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; ci Certain docum Certain defect Certain observations	ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> itations and explanation nents cited s in the international ap vations on the internation diminary examination is	gard to novelty, inverse is.1(a)(i) with regard as supporting such oplication onal application and application are authority ("IPEA to be the IPEA and	entive step and industrial applicability d to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement n will usually be considered to be a A"). However, this does not apply where if the chosen IPEA has notified the	
	International Bui will not be so co	reau under Rule	66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written	opinions of this Int	ernational Searching Authority	

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nt Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Rossier, T

Authorized Officer

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4801



ATTACHMENT "E"

20/584062

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/019728

IAP20 Rec'd PCY/PTO 22 JUN 2006

			Same with the bit July 2000			
	Вох	No.	I Basis of the opinion			
1.	With the la	rega angu	ard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in uage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	i	lang	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following puage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With nece	reg essa	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ry to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. tyr	a. type of material:				
] a	a sequence listing			
] t	able(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. fo	t of material:				
] i	n written format			
		j	in computer readable form			
	c. tin	ne c	of filing/furnishing:			
		، د	contained in the international application as filed.			
) f	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
] 1	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		has cop	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.			
4.	Addi	ition	nal comments:			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

.

No: Claims

1-15

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

10/584062

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application 2006

PCT/JP2004/019728

Re Item V.

1. Reference may be made to the following documents:

D1: EP 1 154 647 A (NEC CORPORATION) 14 November 2001 (2001-11-14) **D2**: KERVELLA B ET AL HUTCHISON D ET AL: "TOWARDS A COMPLETE MULTIMEDIA

MAIL: USE OF MHEG IN STANDARD MESSAGING SYSTEMS" MULTIMEDIA

TRANSPORT AND TELESERVICES. INTERNATIONAL COST 237

WORKSHOPPROCEEDINGS. VIENNA, NOV. 13 - 15, 1994, INTERNATIONAL COST 237 WORKSHOP PROCEEDINGS, BERLIN, SPRINGER, DE, 13 November 1994 (1994-11-13), pages 1-13, XP000585291 ISBN: 3-540-58759-4

D3: FOO S ET AL: "DELIVERY OF VIDEO MAIL ON THE WORLD WIDE WEB" JOURNAL OF NETWORK AND COMPUTER APPLICATIONS, ACADEMIC PRESS, NEW YORK, NY,, US, vol. 20, no. 4, 1997, pages 389-403, XP000913850 ISSN: 1084-8045

D4: ENGLAND P ET AL: "RAVE: Real-time services for the Web" COMPUTER NETWORKS AND ISDN SYSTEMS, NORTH HOLLAND PUBLISHING. AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 28, no. 11, May 1996 (1996-05), pages 1547-1558, XP004018250 ISSN: 0169-7552

- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claims 1, 8, 15 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 2.1 Document D1 discloses (col.4, l.43 col. 8, l.34) an electronic mail processing apparatus comprising:
 - a data receiving section operable to receive mail data including video data or audio data;
 - a cited data detecting section operable to detect a cited part of the received video data or audio data as cited data from the mail received by the data receiving section; and
 - a reproduction control section operable to control a reproducing method.

It is not explicitly mentioned in D1 that the reproduction control section controls the reproduction method so that the reproduction method for the cited data is different from a reproduction method for data other than the cited data in the received mail data, however in the system presented in document D1, it is clearly possible to identify which parts of an incoming mail are cited data. Thus, the person skilled in the art, provided with the knowledge of D1 and concerned with specific goals to achieve such like the need to improve the viewer efficiency, would have no problem to adapt the system presented in D1 such that the reproduction method for the cited data is different from a reproduction method for data other

than the cited data and she/he would define a such further specification without the use of any inventive activity.

Therefore, the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 2.2 The subject-matter of independent claim 8 corresponds in terms of method features to that of claim 1. The objections raised in respect of this latter claim, therefore, also apply to independent claim 8 which is thus not allowable under Article 33(3) PCT for a lack of inventive step of its subject-matter.
- 2.3 The subject-matter of independent claim 15 corresponds to that of claim 1. The objections raised in respect of this latter claim, therefore, also apply to independent claim 15 which is thus not allowable under Article 33(3) PCT for a lack of inventive step of its subject-matter.
- 3. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-7, 9-14

Dependent claims 2-7, 9-14 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33 (3) PCT).